

PATENT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 0090/004001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Noboru NAKASHIMA

Art Unit: 3763

Serial No.: 10/084,491

Examiner: Ghafoorian, R.

: February 28, 2002

: SAFETY INDWELLING SYRINGE

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

NOV 2 6 2002

Sir:

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

In response to the Office Action dated October 22, 2002, applicant hereby submits the enclosed papers including a Response to Election of Species Requirement. The required fees are calculated below:

20 Total Claims 11 Independent 3

TOTAL FEE DUE:

\$0.00

THE COMMISSIONER IS AUTHORIZED TO CHARGE THIS FEE AND ANY OTHER FEES WHICH MAY BE REQUIRED OR CREDIT ANY OVERPAYMENT TO DEPOSIT ACCOUNT NO. 19-2586, REFERENCING ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 0090/004001. A DUPLICATE COPY OF THIS PAPER IS ENCLOSED. THIS IS A GENERAL AUTHORIZATION EXCLUDING ONLY PAYMENT OF THE ISSUE FEE.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 22, 2002

Randolph A. Smith Reg. No. 32,548

SMITH PATENT OFFICE

1901 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.,

Suite 200

Washington, D.C. 20006-3433

Telephone: 202/530-5900 Facsimile: 202/530-5902

Nakashima112202

11/27

PATENT, ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 0090/004/00

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Noboru NAKASHIMA

Art Unit: 3763

Serial No.: 10/084,491

Examiner: Ghafoorian, R.

Filed

: February 28, 2002

Title

: SAFETY INDWELLING SYRINGE

RECEIVED

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

NOV 2 6 2002

Washington, D.C. 20231

TECHNOLOGY CENTER BU

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated October 22, 2002, applicant responds as follows:

REMARKS

Applicant hereby elects Species A: drawn to FIGS. 1-6, with traverse. Applicant submits that claims 1-9 read on Species A.

The traverse is on the grounds that the basic concept and primary structural elements are the same between the elected and non-elected species.

Also, the Examiner stated in the office action that no pending claim was considered to be generic. However, applicant traverses this point as well and respectfully submits that claim 1 is generic.